UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,398	12/17/2004	Cristiano Casalc	034170-026	8007
	7590 06/15/200 INGERSOLL & ROO		EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	JACYNA, J CASIMER		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		<i>[</i> -				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commen	10/518,398	CASALE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	J. Casimer Jacyna	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing carned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONF.	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	pril 2005.					
	•					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraws 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 7-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 040505 & 021704.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/518,398

Art Unit: 3754

1. The drawings are objected to because there are three pointers without any attached identifying characters on the left side of figure 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

2. The information disclosure statement filed 2/17/2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,398

3rd Unit: 3754

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

- 4. Claims 1-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein et al. (5,960,992) in view of Japan 7-40982. Bernstein discloses an opening device including a frame 80, a pierceable portion of a package 48, a threaded cap 70, a cutting member 90, a first connecting means 74, 94, second connecting means 82, 92 and a plurality of teeth 96, any one of which could be a main blade or a first tooth substantially as claimed but does not disclose the teeth to decrease in height. However, Japan teaches another package opening device having the teeth 231 decrease in height as claimed apparently for the purpose of enhancing the piercing of the package. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Bernstein with decreasing teeth height as, for example, taught by Japan in order to enhance the piercing of the package.
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernstein et al. (5,960,992) in view of Japan 7-40982 as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of Laciacera et al. (6,279,779). Bernstein discloses an opening device substantially as claimed but does not disclose breakable joining means. However, Laciacera teaches another package opening device having breakable opening means 62 for the purpose of ensuring that the teeth do no move prior to usage of the device.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,398 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Bernstein with breakable opening means as, for example, taught by Laciacera in order to ensure that the teeth do no move prior to usage of the device.

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Debetencourt and Britton teach other package cutting devices with teeth of different heights.
- 7. Claims 7-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the seminer should be directed to J. Casimer Jacyna whose telephone number is 571-272-4889. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed. thru Fri. 9AM-7PM, Mon. 7AM-1PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,398

Art Unit: 3754

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Casimer Jacyna Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754